Quasinormal modes of Four Dimensional Topological Nonlinear Charged Lifshitz Black Holes

Ramón Bécar*

Departamento de Ciencias Matemáticas y Físicas,

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Montt 56, Casilla 15-D, Temuco, Chile

P. A. González†

Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Diego Portales,

Avenida Ejército Libertador 441, Casilla 298-V, Santiago, Chile.

Yerko Vásquez.‡

Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de La Serena,

Avenida Cisternas 1200, La Serena, Chile.

(Dated: October 21, 2015)

arXiv:1510.06012v1 [gr-qc] 20 Oct 2015

Abstract

We study scalar perturbations of four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with spherical and plane transverse sections, and we find numerically the quasinormal modes for scalar fields. Then, we study the stability of these black holes under massive and massless scalar field perturbations. We focus our study on the dependence of the dynamical exponent, the nonlinear exponent, the angular momentum and the mass of the scalar field in the modes. It is found that the modes are overdamped depending strongly on the dynamical exponent and the angular momentum of the scalar field for a spherical transverse section. In constrast, for plane transverse sections the modes are always overdamped.

* rbecar@uct.cl

† pablo.gonzalez@udp.cl

‡ yvasquez@userena.cl

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 3

II. Topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes 4

III. Quasinormal modes 5

Acknowledgments 10

References 11

I. INTRODUCTION

The gauge/gravity duality contains interesting gravity theories. One of them is the known as Lifshitz gravity that can be dual to scale-invariant field theories, being not conformally invariant. In this context, interesting properties are found when one generalizes the gauge/gravity duality to non-relativistic situations [1–13], being the Lifshitz holographic superconductor one of the most well studied systems. Such theories exhibit the anisotropic scale invariance $t \to \chi^z t$, $x \to \chi x$, with $z \neq 1$, where z is the relative scale dimension of time and space. Systems with such behavior appear, for instance, in the description of strongly correlated electrons.

In this work, we consider a matter distribution outside the event horizon of the topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black hole in 4-dimensions with a spherical and plane transverse section and dynamical exponent z [14]. The matter is parameterized by scalar fields minimally coupled to gravity. Then, we obtain numerically the quasinormal frequencies (QNFs) for scalar fields, by using the improved AIM [15], which is an improved version of the method proposed in references [16, 17] and it has been applied successful in the context of quasinormal modes (QNMs) for different black hole geometries (see for instance [15, 18–25]). Then, we study their stability under scalar perturbations. We focus our study on dependence of the dynamical exponent, the nonlinear exponent, the momentum angular and the mass of the scalar field in obtaining of quasinormal frequencies overdamped. Mainly, motivated by a recent work, where the authors have shown that for $d > z + 1$, at zero momenta, the modes are non-overdamped, whereas for $d \leq z + 1$ the system is always overdamped [22]. Contrary to other Lifshitz black holes, where the QNFs show the absence of a real part [26–32]

In the gravity side of the gauge/gravity duality the QNFs [33–38] gives information about the stability of black holes under matter fields that evolve perturbatively in their exterior region, these fields are considered as mere test fields, without backreaction over the spacetime itself. Also, QNMs have shown to be related to the area and entropy spectrum of black holes horizon. Besides, the QNFs determine how fast a thermal state in the boundary theory will reach thermal equilibrium according to the gauge/gravity duality [39], where the relaxation time of a thermal state is proportional to the inverse of the smallest imaginary part of the QNFs of the dual gravity background, which was established due to the QNFs of the black hole being related to the poles of the retarded correlation function of the corresponding

perturbations of the dual conformal field theory [40].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we give a brief review of the topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes that we will consider as background. In Sec. III we calculate the QNFs of scalar perturbations numerically by using the improved AIM. Finally, our conclusions are in Sec. III.

II. TOPOLOGICAL NONLINEAR CHARGED LIFSHITZ BLACK HOLES

The topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes that we consider is solution of the Einstein-dilaton gravity in the presence of a power-law and two linear Maxwell electromagnetic fields [14]. The action is given by

$$S=-\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_M d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R-2(\nabla\phi)^2-2\Lambda_4+(-e^{-2\lambda_1\phi}F)^p-\sum_{i=2}^{3}e^{-2\lambda_i\phi}H_i\right)\ , \qquad (1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar on manifold M, ϕ is the dilaton field, Λ_4 is the cosmological constant, λ_1 and λ_i are constants. F and H_i are the Maxwell invariants of electromagnetic fields $F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}$ and $(H_i)_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{[\mu}(B_i)_{\nu]}$, where A_μ and $(B_i)_\mu$ are the electromagnetic potentials. The following metric is solution of the equations of motion of the theory defined by the action (1)

$$ds^2=-\frac{r^{2z}}{l^{2z}}f(r)dt^2+\frac{l^2}{r^2}\frac{dr^2}{f(r)}+r^2d\Omega_k^2\ , \qquad (2)$$

where $d\Omega_k^2$ is the metric of the spatial 2-section, which can have positive $k=1$, negative $k=-1$ or zero curvature $k=0$, and

$$f(r)=1+\frac{kl^2}{r^2z^2}-\frac{m}{r^{z+2}}+\frac{q^{2p}}{r^{\Gamma_4+z+2}}\ , \qquad (3)$$

if the constant Λ_4 is

$$\Lambda_4=-\frac{(z+1)(z+2)}{2l^2}\ . \qquad (4)$$

The gauge field is given by

$$F_{rt}=\frac{q_1b^{2(z-1)}}{r^{\Gamma_4+1}}\ , \qquad (5)$$

and the gauge potential by

$$A_t=-\frac{q_1b^{2(z-1)}}{\Gamma_4r^{\Gamma_4}}\ , \qquad (6)$$

where

$$q^{2p}=\frac{(2p-1)b^{2(z-1)}}{2\Gamma_4l^{-2p(z-1)-2}}(2q_1^2)^p\ , \qquad (7)$$

$$\Gamma_4 = z - 2 + \frac{2}{(2p-1)} \ , \tag{8}$$

being q_1 and b constants. Also, in order to have a finite mass, Γ_4 should be positive, which imposes the following restrictions on p and z:

- For $p < 1/2$, $z - 1 > (3 - 2p)/(1 - 2p)$,
- For $1/2 < p \leq 3/2$, all $z(\geq 1)$ values are allowed,
- For $p > 3/2$, $z - 1 > (2p - 3)/(2p - 1)$.

III. QUASINORMAL MODES

The Klein-Gordon equation for a scalar field minimally coupled to curvature is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\right)\psi = m_s^2\psi \ , \tag{9}$$

where m_s is the mass of the scalar field ψ. Thus, the QNMs of scalar perturbations in the background of a four-dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes are given by the scalar field solution of the Klein-Gordon equation with appropriate boundary conditions. Now, by means of the following ansatz

$$\psi = e^{-i\omega t}R(r)Y(\theta,\phi) \ , \tag{10}$$

where $Y(\theta,\phi)$ is a normalizable harmonic function on the two-sphere which satisfies the eigenvalues equation $\nabla^2 Y(\theta,\phi) = -QY(\theta,\phi)$, where $Q = \ell(\ell+1)$ $\ell = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Then, the Klein-Gordon equation yields

$$\frac{1}{r^{z+3}}\partial_r\left[r^{z+3}f(r)\partial_r R\right] + \left[\left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^{2(z+1)}\frac{\omega^2}{f(r)} - \left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^2\frac{Q}{r^2} - \left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^2 m_s^2\right]R(r) = 0 \ . \tag{11}$$

Also, defining $R(r)$ as

$$R(r) = \frac{K(r)}{r} \ , \tag{12}$$

and by using the tortoise coordinate r_* given by

$$dr_* = \frac{l^{z+1}dr}{r^{z+1}f(r)} \ , \tag{13}$$

the Klein-Gordon equation can be written as a one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{d^2K(r_*)}{dr_*^2} + \left[\omega^2 - V(r)\right]K(r_*) = 0 \ , \tag{14}$$

where the effective potential $V(r)$

$$V(r) = \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^{2z} f(r) \left(\frac{(z+1)}{l^2} f(r) + \frac{r}{l^2} f'(r) - \frac{Q}{r^2} - m_s^2 \right) , \tag{15}$$

diverges at spatial infinity, see Fig. 1. Therefore, we will consider that the field vanishes at the asymptotic region as boundary condition or Dirichlet boundary condition. In Fig. 2 we plot the behavior of the effective potential near the horizon for different values of Q.



Figure 1. The behavior of $V(r)$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $m_s = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $p = 2$ and $Q = 2$.



Figure 2. The behavior of $V(r)$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $m_s = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $p = 2$ and $Q = 0, 2, 6, 12, 20$.

It is worth to mention that is not trivial to find analytical solutions to Eq. (11). So, we will perform numerical studies by using the improved AIM [15]. In order to implement the improved AIM we make the following change of variables $u = 1 - r_H/r$ to Eq. (9). Then,

the Klein-Gordon equation yields

$$r_H^{z+1}(1-u)^{1-z}f(u)\partial_u^2 R(u) + r_H^{z+1}(1-u)^2\left(\frac{f'(u)}{(1-u)^{1+z}} + \frac{f(u)(1+z)}{(1-u)^{z+2}}\right)\partial_u R(u) + \left(\frac{l^{2(z+1)}\omega^2(1-u)^{z-1}}{r_H^{z-1}f(u)} + \frac{r_H^{z-1}l^2 Q}{(1-u)^{z-1}} - \frac{m_s^2 r_H^{z+1}l^2}{(1-u)^{z+1}}\right)R(u) = 0\ . \tag{16}$$

Now, in order to propose an ansatz for the scalar field, we must consider their behavior on the event horizon and at spatial infinity. Accordingly, on the horizon, $u \to 0$, their behavior is given by

$$R(u\to 0) \sim C_1 u^{i\frac{l^{z+1}\omega}{r_H^z f'(0)}} + C_2 u^{-i\frac{l^{z+1}\omega}{r_H^z f'(0)}}\ , \tag{17}$$

So, if we consider only ingoing waves on the horizon, we must impose $C_1 = 0$. Also, asymptotically, from Eq. (16), the scalar field behaves as

$$R(u\to 1) \sim D_1\,(1-u)^{1/2(1+(1+z)-\sqrt{(2+z)^2+4m^2l^2})} + D_2\,(1-u)^{1/2(1+(1+z)+\sqrt{(2+z)^2+4m^2l^2})}\ . \tag{18}$$

So, in order to have a null field at infinity we must impose $D_1 = 0$. Therefore, taking into account these behaviors we define

$$R(u) = u^{-i\frac{l^{z+1}\omega}{r_H^z f'(0)}}\,(1-u)^{1/2(1+(1+z)+\sqrt{(2+z)^2+4m^2l^2})} \tag{19}$$

as ansatz. Then, by inserting these fields in Eq. (16) we obtain the homogeneous linear second-order differential equation for the function $\chi(z)$

$$\chi'' = \lambda_0(z)\chi' + s_0(z)\chi\ , \tag{20}$$

where

$$\lambda_0(u) = -\frac{r_H^{-z}}{A_1(-1+u)uf(u)}(\sqrt{(1+A_2)^2-4B_2}A_1 r_H^z u f(u) - 2il^{(1+z)}(u-1)\omega f(u) + A_1 r_H^z u((A_2-z)f(u) + (u-1)f'(u)))\ , \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(z) = -\frac{r_H^{-2(1+z)}}{2A_1^2(u-1)^2u^2f(u)^2}&(2A_1^2l^{2+2z}r_H^2(1-u)^{2z}u^2\omega^2 - 2l^{2+2z}(u-1)^2\omega^2 \\ &-2iA_1l^{1+z}r_H^z(u-1)\omega(1+u(-1+A_2+\sqrt{(1+A_2)^2-4B_2}-z)) \\ &-1-\sqrt{(1+A_2)^2-4B_2}-2B_2A_2+\sqrt{(1+A_2)^2-4B_2}(A_2-z)-z)f(u)^2 \\ &+2A_1l^2r_H^z(-m^2r_H^2+Q(u-1)^2)u \\ &+(r_H^2(u-1)((1+A_2+\sqrt{(1+A_2)^2-4B_2})A_1r_H^z u - 2il^{1+z}(u-1)\omega)f'(u)))\ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where

$$A_1 = f'(0)\ , A_2 = 1 + z\ , B_2 = -m^2 l^2\ . \tag{23}$$

That can be solved numerically (see [25] for more details). So, we choose the following parameters $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.01$ and $b = 1$. Then, in Table I, we show the fundamental quasionormal frequency and the first overtone for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $z = 2$, $p = 2$ and different values of the momentum angular Q. We can observed that the modes are non-overdamped, at zero momenta. Otherwise, the system is always overdamped. Then, in Table II, we set $Q = 0$ and we show some lowest QNFs, for $p = 2$, and different values of z for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$. We observe that there is a limit on the dynamical exponent z ($z \approx 2.3$) above which the system is always overdamped. Additionally, in Table III we show some fundamentals QNFs, for $z = 2$, $Q = 0$ and different values of the nonlinear exponent p for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$, and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$, where we can observe that the behavior of the modes (overdamped or non-overdamped) do not depend on p. It is worth mentioning that in all the cases analyzed, we observe that the modes have a negative imaginary part, which ensures the stability of four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with spherical transverse section under scalar perturbations.

Table I. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $p = 2$ and different values of Q.

$m_s = 0.1$					
n	$Q = 0$	$Q = 2$	$Q = 6$	$Q = 12$	$Q = 20$
0	$0.77567 - 3.99596i$	$-2.86912i$	$-1.37093i$	$-3.36678i$	$-1.99983i$
1	$1.10519 - 7.98646i$	$-4.43686i$	$-4.32506i$	$-6.05415i$	$-5.19559i$
$m_s = 0$					
0	$0.77560 - 3.99350i$	$-2.86614i$	$-1.36758i$	$-3.36350i$	$-1.99611i$
1	$1.10505 - 7.98396i$	$-4.43456i$	$-4.32229i$	$-6.05129i$	$-5.19239i$

The results obtained previously can be generalized for a plane transverse section. The effective potential has a similar behavior on the horizon and asymptotically that the case of spherical transverse section. Now, in Table IV, V, VI we show the QNFs for some cases

Table II. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $p = 2$, $Q = 0$ and different values of z.

$m_s = 0.1$					
n	$z = 2$	$z = 2.3$	$z = 3$	$z = 4$	$z = 8$
0	$0.77567 - 3.99596i$	$-3.78732i$	$-3.58853i$	$-3.87382i$	$-5.65842i$
1	$1.10519 - 7.98646i$	$-4.68822i$	$-5.75581i$	$-6.74363i$	$-10.62950i$
$m_s = 0$					
0	$0.77560 - 3.99350i$	$-3.78550i$	$-3.58710i$	$-3.87273i$	$-5.65784i$
1	$1.10505 - 7.98396i$	$-4.68582i$	$-5.75415i$	$-6.74243i$	$-10.62890i$

Table III. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $Q = 0$ and different values of p.

$m_s = 0.1$			
n	$p = 1$	$p = 2$	$p = 2.5$
0	$0.74428 - 3.98456i$	$0.77567 - 3.99596i$	$0.77694 - 3.99763i$
1	$1.02904 - 7.96141i$	$1.10519 - 7.98646i$	$1.10760 - 7.98995i$
$m_s = 0$			
0	$0.74424 - 3.98210i$	$0.77560 - 3.99350i$	$0.77687 - 3.99516i$
1	$1.02895 - 7.95893i$	$1.10505 - 7.98396i$	$1.10746 - 7.98745i$

analyzed for spherical transverse section. Here, in all the cases analyzed, we observe that the system is overdamped with a negative imaginary part, which ensures the stability of four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with plane transverse section under scalar perturbations.

sectionConcluding comments In this work we have calculated numerically the QNFs of scalar field perturbations for four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with a spherical and plane transverse sections. Then, we have studied the stability of these black holes under massive and massless scalar field perturbations and we have shown that for all the cases analyzed, the modes have a negative imaginary part, which ensures the stability of four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with spherical and plane transverse section under scalar perturbations. Also, it was found that

Table IV. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $p = 2$ and different values of Q. Plane transverse section.

$m_s = 0.1$					
n	$Q = 0$	$Q = 2$	$Q = 6$	$Q = 12$	$Q = 20$
0	$-3.96288i$	$-2.69635i$	$-1.41179i$	$-3.38114i$	$-2.12450i$
1	$-4.03773i$	$-4.57823i$	$-4.27695i$	$-5.99855i$	$-5.19243i$
$m_s = 0$					
0	$-3.96040i$	$-2.69346i$	$-1.40842i$	$-3.37791i$	$-2.12082i$
1	$-4.03522i$	$-4.57576i$	$-4.27417i$	$-5.99572i$	$-5.18929i$

Table V. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $p = 2$, $Q = 0$ and different values of z. Plane transverse section.

$m_s = 0.1$				
n	$z = 2$	$z = 3$	$z = 4$	$z = 8$
0	$-3.96288i$	$-3.52783i$	$-3.85397i$	$-5.65638i$
1	$-4.03773i$	$-5.75795i$	$-6.73535i$	$-10.62790i$
$m_s = 0$				
0	$-3.96040i$	$-3.52634i$	$-3.85284i$	$-5.65580i$
1	$-4.03522i$	$-5.75627i$	$-6.73412i$	$-10.62730i$

the modes are overdamped depending strongly of the dynamical exponent and the angular momentum of the scalar field for a spherical transverse section. However, the modes of a four dimensional topological nonlinear charged Lifshitz black holes with a plane transverse section are always overdamped.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by Comisión Nacional de Ciencias y Tecnología through FONDECYT Grants 11140674 (PAG). P. A. G. acknowledges the hospitality of the Universidad de La Serena and Universidad Católica de Temuco and R.B. acknowledges the hospitality of

Table VI. QNFs for a massive scalar field $m_s = 0.1$ and for a massless scalar field $m_s = 0$ with $l = 1$, $m = 1$, $q_1 = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $z = 2$, $Q = 0$ and different values of p. Plane transverse section.

$m_s = 0.1$			
n	$p = 1$	$p = 2$	$p = 2.5$
0	$-3.81513i$	$-3.96288i$	$-3.98601i$
1	$-4.16136i$	$-4.03773i$	$-4.01793i$
$m_s = 0$			
0	$-3.81272i$	$-3.96040i$	$-3.98352i$
1	$-4.15879i$	$-4.03522i$	$-4.01543i$

the Universidad Diego Portales where part of this work was undertaken.

[1] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 106005 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1725 [hep-th]].

[2] S. A. Hartnoll, J. Polchinski, E. Silverstein and D. Tong, JHEP **1004**, 120 (2010) [arXiv:0912.1061 [hep-th]].

[3] E. J. Brynjolfsson, U. H. Danielsson, L. Thorlacius and T. Zingg, J. Phys. A **43**, 065401 (2010) [arXiv:0908.2611 [hep-th]].

[4] S. J. Sin, S. S. Xu and Y. Zhou, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 4617 (2011) [arXiv:0909.4857 [hep-th]].

[5] F. A. Schaposnik and G. Tallarita, Phys. Lett. B **720**, 393 (2013) [arXiv:1210.8358 [hep-th]].

[6] D. Momeni, R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani and M. R. Setare, arXiv:1210.7965 [hep-th].

[7] Y. Bu, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 046007 (2012) [arXiv:1211.0037 [hep-th]].

[8] V. Keranen and L. Thorlacius, Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 194009 (2012) [arXiv:1204.0360 [hep-th]].

[9] Z. Zhao, Q. Pan and J. Jing, Phys. Lett. B **735**, 438 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6260 [hep-th]].

[10] J. W. Lu, Y. B. Wu, P. Qian, Y. Y. Zhao and X. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. B **887**, 112 (2014) [arXiv:1311.2699 [hep-th]].

[11] G. Tallarita, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 10, 106005 (2014) [arXiv:1402.4691 [hep-th]].

[12] M. Taylor, arXiv:0812.0530 [hep-th].

[13] D. Roychowdhury, arXiv:1509.05229 [hep-th].

[14] M. K. Zangeneh, A. Sheykhi and M. H. Dehghani, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 2, 024050 (2015) [arXiv:1506.01784 [gr-qc]].

[15] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas and W. Naylor, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 155004 [arXiv:0912.2740 [gr-qc]].

[16] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall, and N. Saad, J. Phys. A **36**(47), 11807-11816 (2003).

[17] H. Ciftci, R. L. Hall and N. Saad, Phys. Lett. A **340** (2005) 388.

[18] H. T. Cho, A. S. Cornell, J. Doukas, T. R. Huang and W. Naylor, Adv. Math. Phys. **2012** (2012) 281705 [arXiv:1111.5024 [gr-qc]].

[19] M. Catalan, E. Cisternas, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 3, 2813 [arXiv:1312.6451 [gr-qc]].

[20] C. Y. Zhang, S. J. Zhang and B. Wang, arXiv:1501.03260 [hep-th].

[21] T. Barakat, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4127.

[22] W. Sybesma and S. Vandoren, JHEP **1505**, 021 (2015) [arXiv:1503.07457 [hep-th]].

[23] M. Catalan, E. Cisternas, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1404.3172 [gr-qc].

[24] P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1509.00802 [hep-th].

[25] R. Becar, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1510.04605 [hep-th].

[26] Y. S. Myung and T. Moon, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 024006 [arXiv:1204.2116 [hep-th]].

[27] B. Cuadros-Melgar, J. de Oliveira and C. E. Pellicer, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024014 (2012) [arXiv:1110.4856 [hep-th]].

[28] P. A. Gonzalez, J. Saavedra and Y. Vasquez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **21**, 1250054 (2012) [arXiv:1201.4521 [gr-qc]].

[29] P. A. Gonzalez, F. Moncada and Y. Vasquez, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2255 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0582 [gr-qc]].

[30] R. Becar, P. A. Gonzalez and Y. Vasquez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22**, 1350007 (2013) [arXiv:1210.7561 [gr-qc]].

[31] A. Giacomini, G. Giribet, M. Leston, J. Oliva and S. Ray, Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 124001 [arXiv:1203.0582 [hep-th]].

[32] M. Catalan and Y. Vasquez, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 10, 104002 (2014) [arXiv:1407.6394 [gr-qc]].

[33] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1063 (1957).

[34] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 2141 (1970).

[35] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 737 (1970).

[36] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, Living Rev. Rel. **2**, 2 (1999) [gr-qc/9909058].

[37] H. -P. Nollert, Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, R159 (1999).

[38] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]].

[39] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [hep-th/9711200].

[40] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 151301 (2002) [hep-th/0112055].

[41] G. T. Horowitz and V. E. Hubeny, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024027 (2000) [hep-th/9909056].